8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RHl Caucasia RHl

584 593 the passivity of the couple reflects their submission to the *patria potestas* and perfectly agrees with all we have said about the freedom of choice. The girl is usually more passive than the young man, a fact that corresponds to the greater privilege of the latter to choose his own mate. Although the Caucasian customs of matchmaking and engagement are strongly patriarchal in colouring, we do not wish to say that betrothal as such is a patriarchal trait. On the contrary, elaborate engagement ceremonies are found especially among matriarchal peoples.[43]

§ 2. PRIVILEGES AND OBLIGATIONS ARISING FROM BETROTHAL

There are three distinct obligations that we must consider: (1) mutual avoidance especially in public, (2) mutual fidelity, (3) and the obligation of entering upon marriage with one's engaged partner.

1. Mutual Avoidance

584 934 The NORTHWESTERN CAUCASIANS. An *adat* for the Black Sea-Cordon Line, Kuban and Terek Territories speaks of the strict obligation of the betrothed to avoid one another in public. Should they accidently meet in the presence of others, they may not speak to one another; in fact, they are forbidden [836] even to pass a glance at each other.[44] Of course, sexual intercourse is strictly forbidden and severelly punished (*infra*, pp. 168—179).

The NORTHEASTERN CAUCASIANS. In Chechnia, as soon as the father of the girl gives his consent and the suitor's family has presented their future daughter-in-law with the prescribed engagement gift of ten silver rubles and a silk kerchief, the young man has the right to meet his beloved, but only in private. If another person is present, the bride-to-be must turn her back to her lover.[45] Betrothal, however, does not give the pair the right to sexual relations.[46] When speaking of the Lesghians[47] we must distinguish between three distinct classes of *adats* regarding mutual avoidance: (1) sometimes the engaged couple is strictly forbidden to meet, be it in public or private (if they do meet, it is done stealthily), (2) among some Lesghian peoples they are permitted to meet [836] at the girl's house, (3) among the Tsunta-Akhvakh the *adats* permit engaged couples even to sleep together (*infra*, p. 181 f).

The *adats* of some of the mountain tribes of SOUTH CAUCASUS strictly forbid engaged couples ever to meet in public or in private. It may happen that

[43] Thurnwald, "Verlöbnis," Reallexikon der Vorgeschichte, XIV, p. 133.

[44] Leontovič, II, p. 257, 194. Dubrovin, *Istorija*, I, i, p. 429: "Posle sgovora ženich imčet pravo videt'sja tajno s' svoej nevěstoj; no pri postoronnich ljudjach priličie trebuet ne tol'ko ne govorit' meždu soboju, no i ne smotret' drug na druga."

[45] Berže, *op. cit.*, pp. 97 f.

[46] *Infra*, pp. 169—176.

[47] L'vov, *op. cit.*, p. 22 f; Amirov, "Sredi gorcev severnago Dagestana," SSKG, VII (1873), p. 30; Omarov, "Kak živut Laki," SSKG, IV (1871), pp. 16 ff; Dubrovin, *Istorija*, I, i, p. 564.

husband gives his future spouse an engagement gift as a pledge that he will marry her. Seidlitz,[57] Eristov,[58] (writing of the Khevsurs and Pshavs respectively), and King Vakhtang's Code seem to imply that the fiancée alone is bound by the promise to enter upon marriage. In any case, there is no doubt that her obligation is, by far, more binding than that of her lover. In Pshavia the engaged girl whose lover dies before marriage is obliged to move into her deceased fiancé's house and live there for a year before she may marry another man.[59]

With the OSSETES, on the contrary, the suitor does not enjoy more right to dissolve the betrothal promises than the girl. He may refuse to marry only if he discovers an essential defect, such as sickness and loss of virginity,[60] which she failed to manifest before the betrothal.

Among the TURCO-TARTARS of the Caucasus, the Nogai and Kumyks, the obligation of marrying is bilateral. If the male partner refuses to marry, the girl and her family may retain the bride wealth received from him so far. Moreover, he is obliged to make restitution for all the *damna emergentia*. If the female partner refuses to marry, everything received from her lover must be restored to him. If she has been deflowered by him, he must marry her. Of course, if he so wish, he may divorce her immediately after the marriage and bear the usual expenses connected with a divorce (*kebin*).[61]

TABLE 14

Obligations Arising from Engagements

People	Mutual Avoidance	Fidelity		Marriage	
		Suitor	Fiancée	Suitor	Fiancée
Abkhaz	+		+	−	+
Cherkess	+		+	−	+
Chechens	+		+	−	+
Lesghians	+ −		+	+ −	+ −
Georgians	+		+	−	+
Ossetes	+ −		+	+	+
Nogai			+	+	+
Kumyks	+		+	+	+
Karachai	+		+		

[57] "Gemeinde- und Familienleben der Chewsuren," *Das Ausland*, XVI (1891), p. 319.

[58] *op. cit.*, p. 111.

[59] Makalatija, "Iz starogo narodnago byta Pšavov," SE, 1938, No. 1, p. 110.

[60] Kovalevskij, Coutume contemp., pp. 193 f.

[61] "Adary žitelej kumyskoj ploskosti," SSKG, VI (1872), p. 16. Cf. Dubrovin, *op. cit.*, I, i, p. 638.

1 [Sic -- Analyst]

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RHI Caucasia RHI

riders charge wildly, slashing their whips in all directions against their attackers whose task it is to prevent the horsemen form entering the house. The battle continues till the riders finally manage to jump over the fence or to break it down and thus force their way into the house. The " defenders of the bride " throw away their poles and begin dancing with the girls of the village. At noon they all enter the house to congratulate the parents of the groom. Dances commence again and last until midnight.

All this time, as we have already mentioned, the groom is absent, temporarily adopted by a neighbouring sib and guarded day and night by his *shatso-kot*. When the groom retires, the *shatso-kot* must spend the night in the yard protecting the bridegroom against any intruder. Never may he abandon his post. During the day it is his strict obligation to entertain the groom and this he usually does by horseback riding, away from the village. In the evening the two are joined by other companions. Toward midnight all leave except of course the *shatso-kot*, who must now accompany the groom to his bride.[7]

The first three nights, as a part of the wedding ceremony, the groom must undo the bride's stays.[8] Her corset is a broad red leather belt, which is sewed tightly about her waist and is never removed till the wedding night. According to Pallas, the corset was donned when she was 10 or 12 years old,[9] while other explorers claim that the corset was sewed on soon after the bride was born.[10] In any case, she may never take the corset off : it must remain untouched till it bursts and then it must immediately be replaced by another. The husband alone has the right to undo it, on the wedding night. The corset renders the waist very small in proportion to the shoulders, the purpose being to prevent the growth of the breasts, an essential requirement for Cherkess beauty.[11] In some places at least, the custom of wearing such stays has been recently discarded.[12]

Pallas and Ellis in their reports dating from the 18th century claim that the corset is " cut with a dagger, a custom sometimes productive of very fatal accidents."[13] Today untieing and not cutting the stays is the orthodox ceremony with the Cherkess[14] and the Ossetes. To cut them would subject the groom to endless ridicule.[15] Since the custom of *cutting* was " productive of very fatal accidents " we can easily understand why the pratice has been given up. Sometimes the bride's girl-friends maliciously tie a large number of very difficult knots, so that the temptation to make use of the dagger is not slight. On the first three nights the bride is not allowed to say a word to her husband.[16]

---

[7] Hahn, *op. cit.*, pp. 40—44. For a description of a wedding among the Temirgoi see Vasil'kov, *op. cit.*, pp. 89—101.

[8] Ladyženskij, FT, p. 191.

[9] P. S. Pallas, *Bemerkungen auf einer Reise in die südl. Statth. des russ. Reichs etc.*, I, pp. 380 f.

[10] Ellis, *Memoir of a map etc.*, p. 24.

[11] Pallas, *l. c.*; Ellis, *l. c.*; Dubrovin, *Istorija*, I, i, p. 127. Prof. D. Wölfel has called my attention to a similar practice in Tyrol.

[12] For example, Ladyženskij could not find the practice in Kamenomostki Aul in 1927, FT, p. 191.

[13] Pallas, *op. cit.*, I, p. 381 ; Ellis, *l. c.*

[14] C. Hahn, *op. cit.*, p. 44.

[15] Baddeley, *op. cit.*, I, p. 270.

[16] Hahn, *op. cit.*, p. 45.

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RHI Caucasia RHI

to wife, a change more sensibly felt among pastoral peoples than in any other society.

(5) The virginity test, too, though not restricted to pastoral peoples,[105] is very characteristic of their culture. The North Caucasians, all with only the Chechens as possible exception,[106] still have, or at least have had, the virginity test as an essential part of the wedding. The marriage remained uncertain till the bride passed or failed this examination.

It would certainly be of great interest to discover the meaning of and motive behind this practice. There is, of course, no universal explanation for the custom. What motivated the Nigerian tribes to introduce the practice need not be identical with the motives of the Chinese of Kwangtong or of the tribes of Samoa, of the Sakalavas of Madagascar or of the Slavs.

To understand the Caucasian virginity test we must put aside our Western notions regarding the value of the physical integrity of a bride and try to get behind the mind of the North Caucasian, behind the economic, social, and religious standards of the *Caucasians*.

Negatively stated, the virginity test of the Caucasians is in itself no proof of a high esteem for the *virtue* of chastity, for even if it can be proven that the loss of corporal integrity was caused by an accident, the value of the bride seems not to differ from the girl who lost it through actual illicit intercourse. Among the Akhvakh (Daghestanians) a guest or lover might do to a maiden what he wished provided that the hymen remained intact.[107]

Since the perpetuation of the family property and especially of the family cult depends on legitimate male children, there will always be uncertainty about the fatherhood of the first male child if the hymen is found perforated before the consummation of marriage. The groom's family would be constantly haunted by the thought that a stranger (the first-born male child) may become the head of the family. In a word, the economic and religious importance of the first-born male child may have been responsible for the high esteem for virginity and for the necessity of a proof of virginity on the wedding night.[108]

This attitude toward the inviolateness of the maidenhead has been, no doubt, responsible for many other Caucasian observances. The *kalym*, for example, is much higher for a maiden than a widow or divorcée. This fact, in

---

[105] For a large number of examples and bibliography see Briffault, *The Mothers*, III, pp. 333—345. See also Schmidt-Koppers, *Völker und Kulturen*, pp. 209 f.

[106] But even here we do not have a positive proof for the non-existence of the virginity test. Mere silence of older authors is *indicative* of the absence of the practice, but it certainly is no proof. The neighbouring Ossetes, Tartars and Mountain Georgians have the custom too.

[107] An accidental rupture of the hymen is much more frequent in the Caucasus than one might be inclined to believe. From early childhood the girl is obliged to do difficult work, to carry heavy loads, to climb and slide down steep, rocky slopes, covered with briers, etc., which might at any unexpected moment rob the girl of her most valued possession. Dubrovin, after referring to these possibilities, points out that frequent ritual washing, which begins quite early in life, can explain many a case too (*Istorija*, I, i, p. 572). For the practice of the Akhvakh, see *infra*, pp. 181 f.

[108] A similar explanation has been suggested by Briffault for the custom in various parts of the world. In any case, it does explain the practice among the Caucasians.

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RHI Caucasia RHI

turn, must have stimulated the entire family to watch most carefully over the conduct of their daughters; and this watchfulness, again, must have been responsible for *adats* threatening the girl as well as any lover with the most severe sanctions not only for an actual perforation of the hymen but also, as the *adats* frequently express it, for any "suspicious approach."[109]

There have been other explanations offered for the virginity test. Westermarck, for instance, suggested masculine jealousy.[110] But this explanation is not applicable to the peoples of North Caucasia, for, as we have already mentioned, the sexual factor has very little significance in the selection of mates compared to the all-important economic, social, and religious factors. Such a jealousy, where mutual attraction matters so little, is unthinkable.[111]

(6) The custom of the bride thrice walking round the fireplace is an ancient wedding ceremony frequently found among pastoral tribes. As is well known, it was also the custom of the ancient Indo-Europeans. That one of the main wedding ceremonies in the Caucasus should take place at the fireplace is quite in keeping with the deep religious significance of the hearth. Among a large number of Caucasian mountain tribes, especially in North Ossetia, Ingushetia, and Daghestan, we find the custom of never allowing the fire in the hearth to die out, this practice being a form of cult for the dead.[112] The fire and especially the chain above it constitute a connection between the living and the departed. It forms a sacred asylum for any murderer, because as soon as he touches the chain the ancestors of the victim approve of the murderer's adoption into the family. The chain is the symbol of the family: to tear it down is regarded as one of the most abominable crimes imagineable, an insult to the living and dead members of the sib, which must be punished with death. The chain is a symbol of the family and therefore it must be buried with the last member of an extinct sib. To swear by the hearth-chain is an infallible sign that the person is saying the truth, for to do so falsely is unthinkable.[113] Such an oath would be a curse upon the entire sib and their ancestors. In other words, the ancient solidarity of the sib is intimately connected with the ancient religion of the North Caucasians, and the sanctuary of this religion is the hearth. Now, marriage is an act by which a stranger is taken into the family, and one of the most natural means, most in harmony with the ancient Caucasian form of life, is the hearth and hearth-chain. It is indeed striking that in Daghestan the cult of the fireplace still exists today but not the wedding ceremony. This fact confirms rather than disproves our explanation, for in Daghestan the wife was not a stranger: she had to be chosen from within the sib! (Cf. *supra*, pp. 51 f.)

---

[109] *Infra*, Chapter XII, p. 176.

[110] The History of Marriage, I, p. 163.

[111] The jealousy would have to be so great that the disappointed husband would insist that the bride's nose be cut off or that she be otherwise mutilated and even killed, for such are the ancient adats!

[112] Günther, "Zusammenstöße zwischen Gesetz und Gewohnheitsrecht im nördlichen Kaukasus," *Zeitschrift f. vergl. Rechtsw.*, XLVI (1931), p. 324.

[113] *ibid.*

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RH1 Caucasia RH1

Chapter XII

Sexual Offenses

§ 1. CRIMES AND PENALTIES

684 628 The ancient customary law of the NORTHWESTERN CAUCASIANS imposes a death penalty on the adulteress, allowing the adulterer to go unpunished. According to Cherkess *adats* she might be killed in the act or anytime afterwards,[1] while the male partner had always to be spared. It is true, Leontovič's excellent collection of customary laws maintains the very contrary, expressly stating that the adulterer had to be punished too,[2] but the penalty imposed on him is doubtless an Islamic innovation.[3]

585 According to ancient customary law, the lot of the unfortunate bride found unchaste on her wedding night did not differ from that of the adulteress caught in the act. If the bride did not pass the virginity test, the angry groom would at once dismiss her, hand her over to her disgraced and furious father to be mutilated and finally killed.[4]

836 In case of pregnancy before marriage, the penalty imposed on the lover was somewhat less than the equivalent of bloodwite,[5] about twenty-five head of cattle, as Longworth found it in the beginning of the last century.[6]

Customary law hardly distinguishes between rape and abduction, although the collections do, for rape generally has the same purpose as bride capture. Rape is severely punished, as severely as murder. If the ravisher's life has been spared, he is obliged to pay as much as Rs. 150 in reparation for the insult suffered by the victim's sib, and besides this fine, he is forced to pay the usual *kalym*. He is also forced to marry the girl, for, generally, once raped, she has no hope of finding another mate. If the victim happens to be of a higher social class than the attacker, there is no reconciliation possible. Vengeance alone can atone for such a hideous crime.[7]

Incest is considered a qualified crime and is sanctioned by the severest penalties. Formerly if a Cherkess dared to marry a girl of his fraternity (*tleush*)[8]

---

[1] Dubrovin, *Istorija*, I, i, p. 171.

[2] Leontovič, I, p. 254, § 109: "Imějuščij ženu i uličennyj v preljubolděljanii, po zakonu lišaetsja žizni, no tot že potupok, soveršennyj cholopom, podvergaet ego nakazaniju sta udarami; ěto nazyvaetsja chod-tazir."

[3] Dirr, AGKB, p. 82.

[4] Byhan, *La civilisation caucasienne*, p. 155.

[5] Post, *Grundriß der ethnol. Jurispr.*, II, p. 378.

[6] *A Year among the Circassians*, I, p. 217.

[7] Grabovskij, "Očerk suda i ugolovnych prestuplenij v Kabardinskom okrugě," SSKG, IV (1870), pp. 42 f.

[8] *Supra*, p. 55.

p. 168

unjust death penalty. (5) Finally, the adulteress was merely divorced; she lost her right to the *kebin*, while the *kalym* had to be returned to the husband. The adulterer was obliged to pay a fine.

Amputation of the adulteress' ears and nose is a punishment found in the *adats* of all Caucasians. It is interesting to note that a Hittite code (about 1350 B. C.) engraved on a stele has been dug up, which expressly legalized such mutilation in case of adultery.[65] This code may be based on a substratum of customary laws which are now preserved in the isolated areas of the Caucasus.

2. Motives

The main motives for punishing sexual offenses seem to be: (1) in case of defloration, the girl loses her value and consequently the family suffers a great economic loss;[66] (2) there is a serious danger of a stranger being born into the sacred sanctuary of the home and later treated as a legitimate member and even as head of the family (*supra*, p. 126).

3. Reports regarding Sexual Laxity of the Caucasians

In view of such severe penalties as we have described above, we cannot help being suspicious about some of the reports regarding laxity of morals among the mountain tribes of the Caucasus. The Kuban Cherkess are supposed to have formerly been proud of the fact that their wives attracted other men. Every woman had to have a lover to prove her true value.[67] Longworth's report, to mention but another example, contrasts the scrupulousness after marriage with "their unconstrained demeanor before marriage."[68] "The maidens of Circassia are allowed a latitude in their behaviour which is elsewhere unknown; not only do they talk and dance with the other sex, but when they please, they exercise their blandishments to an extent that to a stranger is no less perplexing than agreeable."[69] Then there are the many reports about public religious hetaerism. Interiano claims that at funerals of prominent personages a very strange sort of sacrifice takes place: a girl of 12 to 14 years of age is placed upon a skin of an ox that has just been slaughtered and in the presence of men and women a robust young man of the community must try to deflower her. After several failures, the young man promises to marry her (or makes some other promises), and then the girl usually consents.[70] There are also the reports about sexual hospitality, for example, in the "Relation du Sieur Ferrand médicin du Khan des Tatares, touchant la Crimée, les Tartares-Nogaies et ce qui se passe au serail du dit Khan,"[71] in *Voyage historiques et géographique dans les pays situé entre la Mer Caspienne par Edouard Barbier du Bocage et de Baert*, as well as in the

---

[65] H. C. E. Zacharias, *Protohistory*, p. 258.
[66] *Supra*, pp. 126 f.
[67] Dirr, AGKB, pp. 81 f.
[68] Longworth, *A Year among the Circassians*, I, p. 286.
[69] Longworth, *op. cit.*, I, p. 216.
[70] Ladyženskij, FT, p. 183, Dirr's note.
[71] In *Recueil des Voyages au Nord*, Amsterdam, 1718.

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RH1 Caucasia RH1

writings of the Arabs al-Mas'ûdî and Abu-El-Kasim. They claim that the father offers one of his daughters to his guest as a mere point of hospitality. Stählin[72] claimed that illegitimate children were treated the same as legitimate. On the other hand, a large number of authors, such as Struys, Lamberti,[73] Neumann,[74] and others, claim that the Cherkess women are extremely modest. The true solution to these conflicting reports is the one suggested by Ladyženskij.[75] "Den richtigsten Standpunkt nimmt in der Frage Garni ein (Reisen in den Ländern der asiatischen Türkei und des Kaukasus, 1854). Er betont die Keuschheit der freien Frauen und den Hetärismus der Sklavinnen." This is also Dirr's opinion.[76] In other words, the reports are true only to a certain extent: the freewomen are exceptionally modest, while the slaves exceptionally immodest, religious prostitution and sexual hospitality being restricted to slaves. Such conduct in regard to freewomen would be impossible considering the great economic loss the family would suffer from defloration, considering also the virginity test, and, finally, the fear of illegitimate children. On the other hand, defloration, virginity, and illegitimate children in the case of *slaves* would offer no serious difficulty.

We have a similar problem in regard to some of the Lesghians. As we have mentioned above, Herodotus wrote of the Lesghians that "they copulate in public as beasts are wont to do."[77] Przewalski reported that at Tsunta-Akhvakh unmarried couples were allowed to sleep together.[78] Baddeley confirms Przewalski's report: "It is the custom for a host to give his guest one of his daughters or some other girl to sleep with. The couple may ... do what they like provided the maidenhead remains intact; and even that depends on the fair one's goodwill. But should the guest so far forget himself as to force her, he will pay for it with his life. Those people think meanly of a girl who has never slept with an honoured guest."[79] Baddeley's guide, a native of Ossetia, "accepted this as a fact, and added that something of the same sort went on in certain of the older Cossack 'stanitsas' on the Terek, instancing Tchervlennaya."[80] Herodotus' report is useless, for we do not know exactly who is meant, but there is no reason to doubt Przewalski and Baddeley. However, we wish to stress that this is a custom found exclusively in Tsunta-Akhvakh and is, by no means, the picture of entire Daghestan. Tsunta-Akhvakh is only a *village* (in 1901 it had only 415 inhabitants), which constitutes an *exception*

[72] Jacob v. Stählin, *Nachrichten von Tschirkassien oder von dem Karbardinischen Lande*, 1771, referred to by Ladyženskij, FT, p. 185.

[73] Cf. Ladyženskij, *l. c.*

[74] Neumann, *Rußland und die Tscherkessen*, cf. Ladyženskij, *l. c.*

[75] Ladyženskij, *l. c.* Ladyženskij, "Zur Erforschung der Rechtsgewohnheiten, etc.," pp. 243 f.

[76] Ladyženskij, FT, p. 184. Cf. Castagné, "Le droit coutumier familial des montagnards du Caucase," p. 252: "Supposer que le montagnard adighé pût proposer sa fille ou sa femme a un hôte, serait comprehensible si la femme des montagnards avait été esclave, mais cela n'étant pas, une supposition de ce genre doit être considéré comme sans fondement aucun."

[77] Cited by Potocki, *Voyage dans les steps d'Astrakhan et du Caucase*, I, p. 240; to which Potocki adds, "Celle de quelques vallées du Caucase sont encore très libres."

[78] Kovalevskij, *Zakon*, II, p. 189.

[79] Baddeley, *op. cit.*, II, pp. 13 f.

[80] Baddeley, *op. cit.*, *II*, p. 14.

8: Lužbetak E-4 (no date) 1951 RH1 Caucasia RH1

837 936 574 to the general rule. This village is inhabited by a degraded Avarian tribe or, as Baddeley suggests, "perhaps the remnant of an older race absorbed by the latter."[81] But even here, among this "degraded" Avarian tribe, the girl "might only be touched from waist upwards; in Shamyl's time a too-ardent lover was stabbed to death, for a breach of this adat, by his own beloved, and the deed was not only not punished but even greatly applauded."[82]

936 There are also similar conflicting reports regarding the neighbouring mountain tribes of Georgia. Klaproth, for instance, speaking of the Tushi, says, "Le commerce illicite entre les deux sexes est très rare chez eux . . ."[83] Klaproth's claim is in full accord with the severe penalties for fornication as described by Baddeley: "If a girl was unchaste, she was set upon a donkey, face to tail, and carried through the 'aoul', spat upon and jeered at." He goes on to say that "if a girl had a child, she was driven out of the community and the child killed, unless some charitable person took pity on it. A young man could not marry a girl once seduced by another man, but an old man or widower might."[84] Other reports, however, maintain the very contrary and say that the mountain tribes of Georgia are extremely lax. A few distinctions will, I believe, clarify these contradictions. The Khevsurs[85] and the Pshavs[86] have an institution called *tsatsali*, that is, "brother" or "sister" but actually legal lovers. It is only freedom with non-*tsatsalis* and pregnancy that seems to have been discountenanced by these tribes. As long as the girl restricted her relations to her *tsatsali* and avoided pregnancy she was "chaste." One of the main reasons for the [582] relatively late marriage age among the mountain tribes of Georgia (*supra*, p. 67) is this institution.[87]

4. Relation of Penalties for Sexual Offenses to the Economic and Social Organization of the Caucasian Mountaineers

182 687 All that we have said about the severity of the penal laws of the North Caucasians is in perfect harmony with their general economic and social organization. It is a known fact that lower hunters discountenance sexual liberty more than the higher hunters; the lower agrarians more than the higher; the pastoralists more than the agrarians; the father-right family more than the mother-right.[88] Our North Caucasians have a strongly pastoral and father-right organization.

[81] Baddeley, *op. cit.*, II, p. 13.

[82] *ibid.* Baddeley's source is Komarov's article "Adaty i sudoproizvodstvo po nim," SSKG, I, p. 57. Dubrovin, *Istorija*, I, i, p. 564 has the incident too, but he fails to mention his source.

[83] Klaproth, *Voyage au Mont Caucase et en Géorgie*, II, p. 72.

[84] Baddeley, *op. cit.*, I, p. 237.

[85] Kovalevskij, "La famille matriarcale au Caucase," *L'Anthropologie*, IV (1893), p. 273.

[86] Makalatija, "Iz starogo narodnogo byta Pšavov," SE, 1938, No. 1, p. 98.

[87] Since the *tsatsali* in Pshavia are always chosen from among relatives and marriage is possible only between non-relatives, marriage would mean that the two would have to separate and love another person, a fact that makes them reluctant to marry. In fact, they sometimes do not marry at all. For a detailed exposition of the institution, see Makalatija, *op. cit.*, pp. 98—102.

[88] H. Günther, *Formen und Urgeschichte der Ehe*, p. 90.